
Regulations for Student Academic Integrity



Notes

a. Reports of academic misconduct that include a report of misconduct as defined in paragraph 1 of the *Regulations for Student Misconduct* or which are ambiguous in nature should be referred to the Academic Registrar via a *Report on Student Misconduct*, who will decide whether the case should, in the first instance, be reviewed under the *Regulations for Student Academic Integrity* or the *Regulations for Student Misconduct*. Reports of research misconduct not related to the award of the University should be referred and dealt with under the "*Policy on Research Conduct and Integrity*".

b. While case reviewing officers may nominate delegates to review cases on their behalf, decision-making is the responsibility of case reviewing officers and not delegates.

c. Where Deans (or the Provost in handling student's appeal) consider the sanctions available to them are insufficient with respect to the nature of the academic misconduct or where a student has a proven case of student misconduct or academic misconduct on their record.

d. On the basis of procedural irregularity and/or new evidence.